### IDENTIFICATION OF HISTORICAL POPULATIONS OF COHO SALMON (*Oncorhynchus kisutch*) IN THE OREGON COAST EVOLUTIONARILY SIGNIFICANT UNIT

#### August 15, 2004

Peter W. Lawson<sup>1</sup>, Eric Bjorkstedt<sup>2</sup>, Mark Chilcote<sup>3</sup>, Charles Huntington<sup>4</sup>, Justin Mills<sup>5</sup>, Kelly Moore<sup>6</sup>, Thomas Nickelson<sup>7</sup>, Gordon H. Reeves<sup>8</sup>, Heather A. Stout<sup>1</sup>, and Thomas C. Wainwright<sup>9</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Northwest Fisheries Science Center Conservation Biology Division Hatfield Marine Science Center 2032 SE OSU Drive Newport, OR 97365

 Southwest Fisheries Science Center Santa Cruz Laboratory
 110 Shaffer Rd.
 Santa Cruz, CA 95060

<sup>3</sup> Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife 3406 Cherry Ave. NE Salem, OR 97303

> <sup>4</sup> Clearwater Biostudies, Inc. 23252 S. Central Point Rd. Canby, OR 97013

<sup>5</sup> Northwest Fisheries Science Center/ORISE Hatfield Marine Science Center 2032 SE OSU Dr. Newport, OR 973655 Oregon Watershed Enhancement Board
 775 Summer Street NE, Suite 360
 Salem OR 97301

<sup>7</sup> Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife Corvallis Research Laboratory 28655 Hwy 34 Corvallis, OR 97333

<sup>8</sup> U.S. Forest Service
 PNW Research Station, Forestry Science Laboratory
 3200 Jefferson Way
 Corvallis, OR 97331

<sup>9</sup> Northwest Fisheries Science Center Fish Ecology Division Hatfield Marine Science Center 2032 SE OSU Dr. Newport, OR 97365

This document should be cited as follows:	
Lawson, P. W., E. Bjorkstedt, M. Chilcote, C. Huntington, J. Mills, K. Moore, T. E. Nickelson, G. H. Reeves, H. A. Stout, and T. C. Wainwright. 2004. Identification of distorical Populations of Coho Salmon ( <i>Onchorhynchus kisutch</i> ) in the Oregon Coast Evolutionarily Significant Unit. Review Draft. Oregon Northern California Coast Technical Recovery Team. NOAA/NMFS/NWFSC. 129 p.	

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

List of Figures	V
List of Tables	vii
Executive Summary	ix
Acknowledgements	xi
Introduction	1
Population Concepts	3
Populations and ESUs	4
Conceptual Approach to Identifying and Classifying Historical Populations	6
Methods and Results	12
Criteria for Identifying the Distribution of Historical Populations.  Documented Historical Use	12 16 16
Methods for Population Identification and Classification	47
Population Classification	50
Discussion	63
Uncertainties	63
Other Applications of the Relative Independence Model	64
References	66
Glossary	78
Appendix I: Vegetation	86
Appendix II: Ecoregions of the Oregon Coast Coho Salmon ESU from South of the CRiver to Cape Blanco	88

Level 4 Ecoregion Descriptions	89
Appendix III: Potential Historical Abundance of Coho Salmon	91
Calculating Adult Abundance from Catch	91
Calculating Adult Abundance from GIS Data	91
Results	92
Discussion	93
Appendix IV: Comments and Responses to Co-Managers' Draft	101
Responses to Comments	114

# LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.	Coho salmon ESUs (NOAA Fisheries 1999)	. 2
Figure 2.	Conceptual model of ESU population structure. Arrow widths are proportional to number of migrants.	. 7
Figure 3.	River and stream basins in the Oregon Coast Coho Salmon ESU	13
Figure 4.	Potential historical coho salmon distribution in the Oregon Coast Coho Salmon ESU.	14
Figure. 5.	Examples of significant anthropogenic changes to historical coho salmon distributio in the Oregon Coast Coho Salmon ESU.	
Figure 6.	Life cycle of the coho salmon in Oregon (modified from Lawson et al. 2004)	22
Figure 7a.	2001 North Coast spawn timing for Oregon Coast coho salmon (NOAA 2004)	28
Figure 7b.	2001 Mid-Coast spawn timing for Oregon Coast coho salmon (NOAA 2004)	29
Figure 7c.	2001 Suislaw-Tenmile Lakes spawn timing for Oregon Coast coho salmon (NOAA 2004).	
Figure 7d.	2001 Mid-South Coast spawn timing for Oregon Coast coho salmon (NOAA 2004).	31
Figure 7e.	2001 Small systems spawn timing for Oregon Coast coho salmon (NOAA 2004) 3	32
Figure 8.	A maximum likelihood tree of Oregon Coast coho salmon genetic samples	35
Figure 9.	Timing of annual peak flows in rivers of the Oregon Coast Coho Salmon ESU	38
Figure 10.	Mean annual flow in rivers of the Oregon Coast Coho Salmon ESU.	39
Figure 11.	Seasonality of monthly stream flow in rivers of the Oregon Coast Coho Salmon ESU.	40
Figure 12.	Duration of high flows in the Oregon Coast Coho Salmon ESU. The number of months of duration of peak flow is shown at gauging stations	41
Figure 13.	Average annual precipitation in watersheds of the Oregon Coast Coho Salmon ESU.	42
Figure 14.	Vegetation zones in the watersheds of the Oregon Coast Coho Salmon ESU	44
Figure 15	Level 3 Ecoregions of the Oregon Coast Coho Salmon ESU	45

Figure 16.	Level 4 Ecoregions of the Oregon Coast Coho Salmon ESU
Figure 17.	Historical coho population potential versus basin area for Oregon Coast basins 52
Figure 18.	Box-whisker plots of estimated historical coho productivity (adults per hectare of basin area per year) for Oregon Coast basins smaller and larger than 5000 hectares. Boxes depict the 25 <sup>th</sup> to 75 <sup>th</sup> percentile productivity values for basins of a given size; whiskers extend to the 10 <sup>th</sup> and 90 <sup>th</sup> percentile values (Data source: Streamnet 2003, App. III).
Figure 19.	Isolation analysis for Oregon Coast coho salmon using estimates of potential historical coho salmon abundance and a dispersal model in which fidelity is fixed at 95% and dispersers are spread across neighboring watersheds according to an exponential decline with distance (decay parameter = 0.05)
Figure 20.	The relationship between the quantity of high-quality habitat (habitat that will support populations of coho salmon when marine survival is 3%) and probability of extinction defined as the number of spawners less than 5, 10, or 20 (from Nickelson 2001) 58
Figure 21.	Proposed historical coho populations in the Oregon Coast Coho Salmon ESU.  Dependent-population basins are identified in Figure 3
Figure III-	1 The relationship between historical potential coho salmon adult abundance estimated by historical catch records and adult abundance calculated from GIS data (data from Table III-1)
Figure III-	2. Intrinsic Potential of rivers and streams on the North Coast segment of the Oregon Coast Coho Salmon ESU
Figure III-	3. Intrinsic Potential of rivers and streams on the Mid-Coast segment of the Oregon Coast Coho Salmon ESU
Figure III-	4. Intrinsic Potential of rivers and streams on the Umpqua River Basin segment of the Oregon Coast Coho Salmon ESU
Figure III-	5. Intrinsic Potential of rivers and streams on the Mid-South Coast segment of the Oregon Coast Coho Salmon ESU.

# LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.	Basin areas with stream lengths (1:100,000 scale dataset, Streamnet 2003)
Table 2.	Locations of river mouths for basins along the Oregon Coast (Kilgour 2003) 19
Table 3.	Oregon Coast coho salmon smolt outmigration peak timing and duration (after Weitkamp 1995)
Table 4.	Oregon Coast coho salmon smolt sizes (after Weitkamp 1995)
Table 5.	Coho salmon freshwater harvests and terminal runs in Tahkenitch, Siltcoos, and Tenmile Lakes basins 1960-1999. After Zhou (2000)
Table 6.	Potential historical smolt and adult abundance for 67 putative populations of coho salmon in the Oregon Coast Coho Salmon ESU (App. III)
Table 7.	Proposed historical populations, listed north-to-south, with classification (App. III, Bjorkstedt 2004)
Table 8.	Locations of river mouths and distance to the nearest Functionally Independent population for basins along the Oregon Coast (Kilgour 2003)
Table III-1	Estimate of potential historical abundance of coho salmon in large basins of the Oregon Coast Coho Salmon ESU using methods based on peak historical catch and based on estimated habitat capacity (based on data from Lichatowich 1989, Chapman 1986, Burnett 2003).
Table III-2	2. Potential historical smolt and adult abundance for 67 putative populations of coho salmon in the Oregon Coast Coho Salmon ESU (based on data from Burnett 2003, NMFS 1983)



#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

In 2003, the Oregon Workgroup (Workgroup) of the Oregon Northern California Coast Technical Recovery Team (TRT) convened to review and analyze information that could shed light on historical populations of Oregon Coast coho salmon (*Onchorhynchus kisutch*). This document presents the preliminary conclusions of the Workgroup. A historical perspective describing how these populations functioned is an important first step in assessing viability of present-day populations and in developing de-listing criteria as an overall recovery strategy.

Documentation of life history traits, distribution, or abundance of Oregon Coast coho salmon prior to 1940 is limited. Considerable biological information has been gathered during the past thirty years, and particularly the past twelve years; however, it is difficult to relate the biological characteristics of modern populations to those that existed historically in the same basin. Human activities over the past 200 years have altered every aspect of salmon habitat on the coast, harvest has changed abundance patterns, and hatcheries may have blurred the distinctions among stocks. Coho salmon have adapted their behavior to many of these changes and, as a result, present-day Oregon Coast coho salmon populations function differently than they did historically. Nonetheless, we have tried to address where populations were historically and how these historical populations functioned.

To establish historical population boundaries, we relied on geographical and ecological characteristics of the landscape that have not been greatly altered by human activities. Geographical information was used in calculating the distance between ocean-entry points. Ecological characteristics were utilized in partitioning the Umpqua Basin into two historical populations. A total of 67 historical populations were identified through this analysis.

Our view of the historical population structure of Oregon Coast coho salmon relies on a simple conceptual model of the spatial relationships of 67 populations. We used a rule-based approach to identify populations. Subsequently, we utilized a Relative Independence Model to classify these populations on the basis of two key characteristics: persistence (their relative abilities to persist without input from neighboring populations), and isolation (the relative degree to which they might have been influenced by adult fish from other populations migrating into their spawning areas). The interaction of these two factors across what we believe to have been the historical populations of Oregon Coast coho salmon gives us a measure of Relative Independence. This Relative Independence gives us a basis for classifying the populations as Functionally Independent, Potentially Independent, and Dependent. Nine populations were identified as Functionally Independent, 9 as Potentially Independent, and 48 historical populations were identified as Dependent populations. We will use this classification in the next step—analyzing the viability of populations and ultimately of the ESU in order to identify quantitative goals for recovery. Two other recovery groups (the SONCC Workgroup and the Central California Coast TRT) are also using the Relative Independence Model to classify their populations.

These proposed historical populations are intended to be representative of the range and diversity of populations of Oregon Coast coho salmon, not necessarily an exact reconstruction. In this representation of historical populations, we assume that ocean feeding areas were a shared

resource and that, in the Umpqua Basin, populations probably shared juvenile rearing and migration corridors. Understanding the historical structure of populations in addition to their abundance and life-history characteristics provides a framework for comparing the historical to the present status of populations, the changes that have affected them, and the restoration of processes that may be necessary to recover them.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

Identification of the historical populations of Oregon Coast coho salmon was conducted by a team of scientists from the NOAA Fisheries Northwest Fisheries Science Center (NWFSC), Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife (Research Division) (ODFW), U.S. Forest Service (Pacific Northwest Research Station), Oregon Watershed Enhancement Board (OWEB), and Clearwater Biostudies, Inc. This Oregon Workgroup is a committee of the Oregon and Northern California Coast Technical Recovery Team (ONCC TRT; technical terms and abbreviations such as TRT are defined in the Glossary). The Workgroup relied on published literature, informational reports, and unpublished data made available by state, tribal, and federal agencies. The authors acknowledge the efforts of all who contributed to this process, especially the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife and the Oregon Watershed Enhancement Board.

Numerous scientists and fishery managers provided information that aided in preparation of this report on historical populations and deserve special thanks. We particularly want to thank the Independent Multidisciplinary Scientific Team (IMST) for their in-depth analyses on the role of lowlands in coho salmon life history. Thanks are also due to Bridgette Lohrman (OSU CIMRS), Cid Hughes (MES, Inc.), and Justin Mills (ORISE) for their significant contributions to this effort.

The Oregon and Northern California Coast Technical Recovery Team consists of Dr. Walt Duffy, USGS California Cooperative Fish Research Unit; Dave Hillemeir, Yurok Tribe Fishery Biologist; George Kautsky, Hoopa Valley Tribe Fishery Biologist; Dr. Thomas Lisle, USDA Forest Service (USFS), Pacific Southwest Research Station; Mike McCain, Six Rivers National Forest; Mike Rode, California Fish and Game Region I; Co-chairs Dr. Tommy Williams, SWFSC and Dr. Peter Lawson, NWFSC; Dr. Thomas Wainwright, NWFSC; Thomas Nickelson, ODFW; Charles Huntington, Clearwater Biostudies, Inc., and Dr. Gordon Reeves, USFS Pacific Northwest Research Station. Recovery Coordinators are Rosemary Furfey of NOAA Fisheries NW Regional Office, and Greg Bryant of NOAA Fisheries SW Regional Office.

The Oregon Workgroup for Oregon Coast coho salmon consists of Dr. Peter Lawson, Dr. Thomas Wainwright, Dr. Gordon Reeves, Thomas Nickelson, and Charles Huntington. Adjunct members are Mark Chilcote of ODFW and Kelly Moore of OWEB. Heather Stout of NOAA Fisheries NWFSC staffs the Oregon Workgroup.